

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,791	08/29/2001		Larry D. Kinsman	M4065.0014/P014-B	5281
24998	7590	07/14/2003			
		RO MORIN & O	EXAMINER		
•	STREET NW NGTON, DC 20037-1526			ROSE, KIESHA L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2822	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/940,791	KINSMAN, LARRY D.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Kiesha L. Rose	2822					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/940,791

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 17 April 2003.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 39, 42 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art (Fig. 1b) in view of Maruyama et al. (U.S. Patent 6,266,242).

Applicant's Prior Art (Fig. 1b) discloses a memory device comprised of low profile ball grid array semiconductor packages comprised of a base substrate (31) having a top and bottom surface with an aperture (33) therein which extends from the top surface to the bottom surface, a series of conductive traces (34) located on the top and bottom surfaces of the substrate, a plurality of conductive balls (40) connected to the series of conductive traces, a single thin layer of material (32) secured to the base substrate and covering aperture such that a cavity is formed and a semiconductor element (48) formed in cavity. Applicant's Prior Art discloses all of the limitations except for the memory device connected to a central processing unit. Whereas Maruyama discloses a circuit module (Fig. 6) that contains a ball grid array package (30) connected to a central processing unit to

Application/Control Number: 09/940,791

Art Unit: 2822

form an information processing apparatus. (Column 1, lines 8-12) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Applicant's Prior Art by incorporating a central processing unit connected to the memory device to form an information processing apparatus as taught by Maruyama. Applicant's Prior Art and Maruyama disclose all the limitations except for the single thin material to have a thickness in the range of 0.025 mm to 0.1mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the single thin material have to have a thickness in the range of 0.025 to 0.1mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges in involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (1955).

Claims 40,41,43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art and Maruyama as applied to claims 39 and 42 above, and further in view of Nakashima et al (U.S. Patent 5,717,252).

Applicant's Prior Art and Maruyama disclose all the limitations except for the thin single layer to be metal or polyimide. Whereas Nakashima discloses a semiconductor device (Figs. 2a-c, 16 and 22) that contains a memory device that comprises a plurality of ball grid array semiconductor packages, which comprise an insulating substrate (2) having a top and bottom surface, where the insulating substrate (2) has an aperture (0) therein extending from the top surface to the bottom surface, a series of conductive traces (1) located on bottom surface of base substrate, a plurality of conductive balls (5)

Application/Control Number: 09/940,791

Art Unit: 2822

connected to series of conductive traces, a single layer of material (4) made of metal (Fig. 2b) or polyimide (Fig. 16) secured to the bottom surface (Fig. 2b) and the top surface (Fig. 22) of the substrate to form a cavity where a semiconductor die (3) is mounted in cavity. The single layer is made of metal or polyimide to act as a conductor to the semiconductor chip or act as an insulation layer. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the devices of Applicant's Prior Art and Maruyama by incorporating the single layer of material to be made of metal or polyimide to provide a conductive connection to the semiconductor chip or act as an insulation layer as taught by Nakashima.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 39-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 703-605-4212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 1st Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

**KLR** 

June 16, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800